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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the family of all univalent functions $f$ in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ with the normalization $f(0)=0=f^{\prime}(0)-1$. There is an intimate relationship between the operator $P_{f}(z)=f(z) / f^{\prime}(z)$ and the Danikas-Ruscheweyh operator $T_{f}:=\int_{0}^{z}\left(t f^{\prime}(t) / f(t)\right) d t$. In this paper we mainly consider the univalence problem of $F=P_{f}$, where $f$ belongs to some subclasses of $\mathcal{S}$. Among several sharp results and non-sharp results, we also show that if $f \in \mathcal{S}$, then $F \in \mathcal{U}$ in the disk $|z|<r$ with $r \leq r_{6} \approx 0.360794$ and conjecture that the upper bound for such $r$ is $\sqrt{2}-1$.


Keywords. Analytic, univalent, starlike functions, radius of univalence.
2010 MSC. Primary: 30C45.

## 1. Introduction and Main Results

Let $\mathcal{B}$ denote the class of analytic functions $\omega(z)$ in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}:=\{z \in$ $\mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ such that $\omega(0)=0$ and $|\omega(z)|<1$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. If $f, g$ are two analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$, then we say that $f$ is subordinate to $g$, written $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists an $\omega \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $f(z)=g(\omega(z))$. We also note that if $g$ is univalent, then it is easy to show that $f \prec g$ if and only if $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset g(\mathbb{D})$.

We consider the family $\mathcal{A}$ of all functions $f$ analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with the normalization $f(0)=0=f^{\prime}(0)-1$. By $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{A}$, we denote the class of univalent functions in $\mathbb{D}$. Certain special subclasses of $\mathcal{S}$ possess various remarkable features due to their geometrical properties. By $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{K}$, and $\mathcal{S}^{\star}$ we denote the subclasses of $\mathcal{S}$ which consist of convex, close-to-convex, and starlike functions, respectively. For $\beta \in[0,1)$, let $\mathcal{S}^{\star}(\beta)$ denote the usual normalized class of all (univalent) starlike
functions of order $\beta$. Analytically, $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\star}(\beta)$ if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and satisfies the condition

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+(1-2 \beta) z}{1-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

It is well-known that $\mathcal{C} \subsetneq \mathcal{S}^{\star}(1 / 2)$, and $\mathcal{S}^{\star}:=\mathcal{S}^{\star}(0)$. At this point it is interesting to note that a function belonging to $\mathcal{S}^{\star}(1 / 2)$ may not be convex in $|z|<R$ for any $R>\sqrt{2 \sqrt{3}-3}=0.68 \ldots$, see $[8$, Theorem 1]. We say that $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is starlike in $|z|<r$ (i.e. to say $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\star}$ in $|z|<r$ ) for some $0<r \leq 1$, if $f(|z|<r)$ is starlike with respect to the origin. This means that the last subordination condition is satisfied for $|z|<r$ instead of the full disk $|z|<1$. Similar convention will be followed for other classes. We refer to $[3,4,11]$ for a detailed discussion on these classes. Also let us introduce some notations and definitions as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U} & =\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}:\left|U_{f}(z)\right|<1 \text { for } z \in \mathbb{D}\right\}, U_{f}(z)=f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2}-1, \\
\mathcal{C}(-1 / 2) & =\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>-\frac{1}{2}, \text { for } z \in \mathbb{D}\right\}, \text { and } \\
\mathcal{G} & =\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)<\frac{3}{2}, \text { for } z \in \mathbb{D}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Aksentév's theorem [1] (see also [10]), the strict inclusion $\mathcal{U} \subsetneq \mathcal{S}$ holds. In a recent paper the authors in [14] discussed the class $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ in geometric perspectives.

Moreover, $\mathcal{C}(-1 / 2) \subset \mathcal{K}$, and functions in $\mathcal{G}$ are proved to be starlike in $\mathbb{D}$, see for eg. [12, Example 1, Equation (16)]. See also [7] for further details and investigation on the class $\mathcal{G}$.

This article concerns with the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z):=P_{f}(z)=\frac{f(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for locally univalent functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$. The main problem is to consider the univalency and starlikeness of $P_{f}$ when $f$ belongs to some of the subclasses of $\mathcal{S}$ defined above.

Among others our interest in the operator $P_{f}$ arose from the fact that there exists an intimate relation between this one and the Danikas-Ruscheweyh ([2]) operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{f}(z):=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{t f^{\prime}(t)}{f(t)} d t=z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n+1} c_{n}(f) z^{n+1} \quad(f \in \mathcal{S}) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{n}(f)(n \geq 1)$ denote the logarithmic coefficients of $f \in \mathcal{S}$ defined by

$$
\log \frac{f(z)}{z}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n}(f) z^{n}
$$

The conjecture that $T_{f} \in \mathcal{S}$ for each $f \in \mathcal{S}$ remains open.
The relation between (1.1) and (1.2) becomes obvious, when one considers the equivalent operators in the $w$-plane where $w=f(z)$. Let $g(w)=f^{-1}(w)$ be the function inverse to $f$. If we transform the operator $P_{f}$ to the $w$-plane, we get the operator

$$
Q(g)(w)=w g^{\prime}(w)=q(w)
$$

A similar consideration concerning the Danikas-Ruscheweyh operator results in

$$
S(g)(w)=\int_{0}^{w} \frac{g(u)}{u} d u=s(w)
$$

Now it is immediately seen that

$$
Q^{-1}(q)(w)=\int_{0}^{w} \frac{q(u)}{u} d u=S(q)(w) \text { and } S^{-1}(s)(w)=w s^{\prime}(w)=Q(s)(w)
$$

## 2. Preliminaries and two examples

We remark that if $f \in \mathcal{S}$ then $(z / f(z)) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$ and hence, $f$ can be represented as Taylor's series of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{z}{1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the well-known Area Theorem [4, Theorem 11 on p. 193 of Vol. 2], for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ of the form (2.1), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\left|b_{n}\right|^{2} \leq 1 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

but this condition is not sufficient for the univalence of $f$. On the other hand, if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form (2.1) satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\left|b_{n}\right| \leq 1 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{U}$. The condition (2.3) is also necessary if $b_{n} \geq 0$ for $n \geq 1$. The constant 1 is the best possible in the sense that if

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\left|b_{n}\right|=1+\varepsilon
$$

for some $\varepsilon>0$, then there exists an $f$ which is not univalent in $\mathbb{D}$.
Let us continue the discussion with two examples. Consider

$$
f_{1}(z)=\frac{z\left(1-\frac{z}{2}\right)}{(1-z)^{2}}, \quad \text { and } \quad f_{2}(z)=z-\frac{z^{2}}{2} .
$$

Then $f_{1} \in \mathcal{C}(-1 / 2)$ and $f_{2} \in \mathcal{G}$. Define

$$
F_{j}(z)=P_{f_{j}}(z)=\frac{f_{j}(z)}{f_{j}^{\prime}(z)}, \text { for } j=1,2
$$

so that

$$
F_{1}(z)=z-\frac{3}{2} z^{2}+\frac{1}{2} z^{3} \text { and } F_{2}(z)=\frac{z\left(1-\frac{z}{2}\right)}{1-z}
$$

1. We have that

$$
F_{1}^{\prime}(z)=\frac{3}{2} z^{2}-3 z+1=\frac{3}{2}\left(z-r_{+}\right)\left(z-r_{-}\right), r_{ \pm}=1 \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}
$$

and therefore $F_{1}^{\prime}\left(r_{-}\right)=0$, where $r_{-}=1-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}=0.4226497 \ldots$. We claim that $\operatorname{Re}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}(z)\right)>0$ for $|z|<r_{-}$. To do this, we observe that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right)=3 r^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta-3 r \cos \theta+1-\frac{3}{2} r^{2},
$$

then it is easy to show that $\operatorname{Re}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right)>0$ for $-1 \leq \cos \theta \leq 1$ and $0 \leq r<r_{-}$. It means that $F_{1}$ is univalent in the disc $|z|<r_{-}$.
2. It is a simple exercise to see that $F_{2} \in \mathcal{U}$. In fact,

$$
\frac{z}{F_{2}(z)}=\frac{1-z}{1-\frac{z}{2}}=1-\frac{\frac{z}{2}}{1-\frac{z}{2}}=1-\frac{z}{2}-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}, \quad b_{n}=\frac{1}{2^{n}},
$$

so that $z / F_{2}(z)$ is non-vanishing in $\mathbb{D}$ and thus,

$$
-z\left(\frac{z}{F_{2}(z)}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{z}{F_{2}(z)}-1=\left(\frac{z}{F_{2}(z)}\right)^{2} F_{2}^{\prime}(z)-1=\left(\frac{\frac{z}{2}}{1-\frac{z}{2}}\right)^{2}
$$

from which we easily see that $\left|U_{F_{2}}(z)\right|<1$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Indeed, by a direct computation, we see that the function $w=(z / 2) /(1-(z / 2))$ maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto the disk $|w-(1 / 3)|<2 / 3$ so that $w \in \mathbb{D}$ and thus, $w^{2} \in \mathbb{D}$. This observation gives that $\left|U_{F_{2}}(z)\right|<1$ in $\mathbb{D}$ and hence, $F_{2} \in \mathcal{U}$. Alternately, using the series expansion for $F_{2}$, we find that

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\left|b_{n}\right|=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1) \frac{1}{2^{n}}=1
$$

and, by the sufficient condition (2.3), it follows that $F_{2} \in \mathcal{U}$.

## 3. Main results

Let $\omega \in \mathcal{B}$. Then by the Schwarz lemma it follows that $|\omega(z)| \leq|z|$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and by the Schwarz-Pick lemma we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\omega^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1-|\omega(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} \text { for } z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $\frac{\omega(z)}{z}$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and $|\omega(z) / z| \leq 1$ in $\mathbb{D}$. The Schwarz-Pick lemma, namely, (3.1), applied to $\omega(z) / z$ shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z \omega^{\prime}(z)-\omega(z)\right| \leq \frac{|z|^{2}-|\omega(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

These three inequalities will be used frequently in the proof of our main results.
Theorem 3.3. If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\star}(\beta)$, then $P_{f} \in \mathcal{U}$ in the disk $|z|<1 /(1+\sqrt{2(1-\beta)})$. The result is sharp (as for univalence) as the function $z /(1-z)^{2(1-\beta)}$ shows.

Proof. Each $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\star}(\beta)$ and $F=P_{f}$ defined by (1.1) can be written as

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\frac{1+(1-2 \beta) \omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)} \text { and } F(z)=\frac{z(1-\omega(z))}{1+(1-2 \beta) \omega(z)}
$$

where $\omega \in \mathcal{B}$. Clearly, $\frac{\omega(z)}{z}$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and $|\omega(z) / z| \leq 1$ in $\mathbb{D}$. Using the last two relations, we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{F}(z)=-z\left(\frac{z}{F(z)}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{z}{F(z)}-1=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-z\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime}-1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{F}(z) & =2(1-\beta)\left(\frac{\omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)}-\frac{z \omega^{\prime}(z)}{(1-\omega(z))^{2}}\right) \\
& =2(1-\beta)\left(\frac{\left(\omega(z)-z \omega^{\prime}(z)\right)-\omega^{2}(z)}{(1-\omega(z))^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

from which and (3.2), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|U_{F}(z)\right| & \leq 2(1-\beta)\left(\frac{\left|\omega(z)-z \omega^{\prime}(z)\right|}{(1-|\omega(z)|)^{2}}+\frac{|\omega(z)|^{2}}{(1-|\omega(z)|)^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq 2(1-\beta)\left(\frac{\frac{|z|^{2}-|\omega(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}}}{(1-|\omega(z)|)^{2}}+\frac{|\omega(z)|^{2}}{(1-|\omega(z)|)^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{2(1-\beta)|z|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}}\left(\frac{1+|\omega(z)|}{1-|\omega(z)|}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)|z|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}}\left(\frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|}\right)=\frac{2(1-\beta)|z|^{2}}{(1-|z|)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which can easily seen to be less than 1 if $|z|<1 /(1+\sqrt{2(1-\beta)})$. Thus, $F$ belongs to $\mathcal{U}$ in the disk $|z|<1 /(1+\sqrt{2(1-\beta)})$.

To prove the sharpness part, we consider $k_{\beta}(z)=z /(1-z)^{2(1-\beta)}$ and define

$$
F_{\beta}(z)=P_{k_{\beta}}(z)=\frac{k_{\beta}(z)}{k_{\beta}^{\prime}(z)}
$$

Then we see that $k_{\beta} \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\beta)$ and

$$
F_{\beta}(z)=\frac{z(1-z)}{1+(1-2 \beta) z} \text { and } \frac{z}{F_{\beta}(z)}=\frac{1+(1-2 \beta) z}{1-z}=1+2(1-\beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{n} .
$$

Define $G_{\beta}(z)=\frac{1}{r} F_{\beta}(r z)$ and observe that

$$
\frac{z}{G_{\beta}(z)}=1+2(1-\beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{n} z^{n} .
$$

According to (2.3), the function $G_{\beta}$ is in $\mathcal{U}$ (and hence is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ ) if and only if

$$
2(1-\beta) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1) r^{n} \leq 1, \quad \text { i.e. } \quad \frac{2(1-\beta) r^{2}}{(1-r)^{2}} \leq 1
$$

The gives the condition $0<r \leq r_{1}=1 /(1+\sqrt{2(1-\beta)})$. Thus, the function $F_{\beta}$ is univalent in the disk $|z|<r_{1}$ and not in any larger disk with center at the origin. Note also that

$$
F_{\beta}^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1-2 z-(1-2 \beta) z^{2}}{(1+(1-2 \beta) z)^{2}}
$$

and thus, $F_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(r_{1}\right)=0$. Moreover,

$$
U_{F_{\beta}}(z)=\frac{1-2 z-(1-2 \beta) z^{2}}{(1-z)^{2}}-1
$$

showing that $U_{F_{\beta}}\left(r_{1}\right)=-1$. Thus, the number $r_{1}$ is best both for univalence and also for $\mathcal{U}$. The proof is complete.
Corollary 3.5. If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\star}$, then $P_{f} \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{S}^{\star}$ in the disk $|z|<\sqrt{2}-1$. The result is sharp (as for univalence) as the Koebe function $z /(1-z)^{2}$ shows.

Proof. It suffices to prove the starlikeness part since $P_{f} \in \mathcal{U}$ follows from Theorem 3.3 by taking $\beta=0$. Thus, for the proof of the second part, it suffices to observe by (3.1) that

$$
\left|\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}-1\right|=\left|-\frac{2 z \omega^{\prime}(z)}{1-\omega^{2}(z)}\right| \leq \frac{2|z|\left|\omega^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1-|\omega(z)|^{2}} \leq \frac{2|z|}{1-|z|^{2}}
$$

which is again less than 1 provided $|z|<\sqrt{2}-1$. In particular, $F$ is starlike in the disk $|z|<\sqrt{2}-1$. Sharpness part follows from the discussion in Theorem 3.3 with $\beta=0$.

Corollary 3.6. If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\star}(1 / 2)$, then $P_{f} \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{S}^{\star}$ in the disk $|z|<1 / 2$. The result is sharp as the function $z /(1-z)$ shows.

Proof. Choose $\beta=1 / 2$ in Theorem 3.3 and observe that it suffices to prove the starlikeness part. As in the proof of Theorem 3.3, for each $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\star}(1 / 2)$, we have

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\frac{1}{1-\omega(z)} \text { and } F(z)=z(1-\omega(z))
$$

for some $\omega \in \mathcal{B}$. By (3.1) and the fact that $|\omega(z)| \leq|z|$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}-1\right|=\left|\frac{-z \omega^{\prime}(z)}{1-\omega(z)}\right| \leq \frac{|z|\left|\omega^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1-|\omega(z)|} & \leq \frac{|z|(1+|\omega(z)|)}{1-|z|^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{|z|(1+|z|)}{1-|z|^{2}}=\frac{|z|}{1-|z|}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is less than 1 if $|z|<1 / 2$. Note that for $f(z)=z /(1-z)$, one has $F(z)=z-z^{2}$ and thus, $\left|F^{\prime}(z)-1\right|=2|z|<1$ for $|z|<1 / 2$ and $F^{\prime}(1 / 2)=0$. Thus, $F$ is univalent in the disk $|z|<1 / 2$ and not in any larger disk with center at the origin. Also, it is easy to see that $F(z)$ is starlike for $|z|<1 / 2$. The desired conclusion follows.

Corollary 3.7. If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\star}(1 / 2)$ such that $f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$, then $P_{f}$ is starlike in the disk $|z|<r_{2}$, where $r_{2} \approx 0.543689$ is the root of the equation $\phi_{2}(r)=0$, where

$$
\phi_{2}(r)=r^{3}+r^{2}+r-1
$$

Proof. Clearly, we just need to apply Corollary 3.6 with $|\omega(z)| \leq|z|^{2}$. This will lead to the inequality

$$
\left|\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}-1\right| \leq \frac{|z|\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)}{1-|z|^{2}}
$$

which is clearly less than 1 if $|z|^{3}+|z|^{2}+|z|-1<0$. The result follows.
Corollary 3.8. Let $f$ belong to either $\mathcal{S}^{\star}(1 / 2)$ or $\mathcal{C}(-1 / 2)$, such that $f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$. Then $F \in \mathcal{U}$ in the disk $|z|<1 / \sqrt{3}$.

Proof. It known that [9, p. 68] if $\mathcal{C}(-1 / 2)$ with $f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$, then $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\star}(1 / 2)$. In view of this result, it suffices to prove the corollary when $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}^{\star}(1 / 2)$ with $f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$. However, using the proof of Theorem 3.3 with $\beta=1 / 2$ and $|\omega(z)| \leq|z|^{2}$, we easily obtain that

$$
\left|U_{F}(z)\right| \leq \frac{|z|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}}\left(\frac{1+|\omega(z)|}{1-|\omega(z)|}\right) \leq \frac{|z|^{2}}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{1+|z|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)
$$

which is less than 1 provided $1-3|z|^{2}>0$ and this gives the disk $|z|<1 / \sqrt{3}$. The proof is complete.

A locally univalent function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to belong to $\mathcal{G}(\alpha)$, for some $\alpha \in$ $(0,1]$, if it satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)<1+\frac{\alpha}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have $\mathcal{G}:=\mathcal{G}(1)$.
Theorem 3.10. If $f \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1]$, then $P_{f}$ is starlike in the disk $|z|<1+\alpha-\sqrt{\alpha(1+\alpha)}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha)$ and $F$ be given by (1.1). Then we have (see eg. [5, Theorem 1])

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{(1+\alpha)(1-z)}{1+\alpha-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

and thus, we may write

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\frac{(1+\alpha)(1-\omega(z))}{1+\alpha-\omega(z)} \text { and } F(z)=P_{f}=\frac{z(1+\alpha-\omega(z))}{(1+\alpha)(1-\omega(z))}
$$

for some $\omega \in \mathcal{B}$. By a computation, we obtain that

$$
\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}-1=\frac{\alpha z \omega^{\prime}(z)}{(1-\omega(z))(1+\alpha-\omega(z))}
$$

and, as before, it follows from the Schwarz-Pick lemma that

$$
\left|\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}-1\right| \leq \frac{\alpha|z|\left|\omega^{\prime}(z)\right|}{(1+\alpha-|\omega(z)|)(1-|\omega(z)|)} \leq \frac{\alpha|z|}{(1+\alpha-|z|)(1-|z|)}
$$

which is less than 1 provided $\phi_{3}(|z|)>0$, where $\phi_{3}(r)=r^{2}-2(1+\alpha) r+1+\alpha$. Thus, we conclude that $P_{f}$ is starlike in the disk $|z|<r_{3}(\alpha)=1+\alpha-\sqrt{\alpha(1+\alpha)}$, where $r_{3}(\alpha)$ is the root of the equation $\phi_{3}(r)=0$ in the interval $(0,1]$. The theorem follows.

Taking $\alpha=1$ gives
Corollary 3.11. If $f \in \mathcal{G}$, then $P_{f}$ is starlike in the disk $|z|<2-\sqrt{2} \approx 0.585786$

The same reasoning gives as in Corollary 3.7 the following.
Corollary 3.12. If $f \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha)$ such that $f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$ and for some $\alpha \in(0,1]$, then $P_{f}$ is starlike in $|z|<r_{4}(\alpha)$, where $r_{4}(\alpha)$ is the root in the interval $(0,1]$ of the equation $\phi_{4}(r)=0$,

$$
\phi_{4}(r)=r^{4}-\alpha r^{3}-(2+\alpha) r^{2}-\alpha r+1+\alpha .
$$

Proof. In this case, the corresponding inequality for $f \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha)$ in Theorem 3.10 becomes

$$
\left|\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}-1\right| \leq \frac{\alpha|z|}{1-|z|^{2}}\left(\frac{1+|\omega(z)|}{1+\alpha-|\omega(z)|}\right) \leq \frac{\alpha|z|}{1-|z|^{2}}\left(\frac{1+|z|^{2}}{1+\alpha-|z|^{2}}\right)
$$

which is less than 1 if $\phi_{4}(|z|)>0$. The result follows.
Setting $\alpha=1$ gives
Corollary 3.13. If $f \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$, then $P_{f}$ is starlike in $|z|<r_{4}$, where $r_{4} \approx 0.64731$ is the root in the interval $(0,1]$ of the equation $r^{4}-r^{3}-3 r^{2}-$ $r+2=0$.

Theorem 3.14. If $f \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1]$, then $F \in \mathcal{U}$ in the disk $|z|<r_{5}(\alpha)$, where $r_{5}(\alpha)=\sqrt{\frac{-\alpha+\sqrt{(1+\alpha)^{2}+1}}{2}}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha)$ and $F=P_{f}$ be given by (1.1). Then, following the proof of Theorem 3.10, one has

$$
\frac{z}{F(z)}-1=-\frac{\alpha \omega(z)}{1+\alpha-\omega(z)}
$$

and, using this relation, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{F}(z) & =-\frac{\alpha \omega(z)}{1+\alpha-\omega(z)}+\frac{\alpha(1+\alpha) z \omega^{\prime}(z)}{(1+\alpha-\omega(z))^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\alpha\left[(1+\alpha)\left(z \omega^{\prime}(z)-\omega(z)\right)+\omega^{2}(z)\right]}{(1+\alpha-\omega(z))^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that, by (3.2), we easily have as before that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|U_{F}(z)\right| & \leq \frac{\alpha}{(1+\alpha-|\omega(z)|)^{2}}\left((1+\alpha)\left(\frac{|z|^{2}-|\omega(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)+|\omega(z)|^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{\alpha}{1-|z|^{2}}\left(\frac{-\left(\alpha+|z|^{2}\right)|\omega(z)|^{2}+(1+\alpha)|z|^{2}}{(1+\alpha-|\omega(z)|)^{2}}\right)=\frac{\alpha \phi(t)}{1-r^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we put $|z|=r,|\omega(z)|=t$ and

$$
\phi(t)=\frac{-\left(\alpha+r^{2}\right) t^{2}+(1+\alpha) r^{2}}{(1+\alpha-t)^{2}}, 0 \leq t \leq r .
$$

We compute that

$$
\phi^{\prime}(t)=\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{(1+\alpha-t)^{3}}\left[-\left(\alpha+r^{2}\right) t+r^{2}\right],
$$

and it is easy to see that $\phi$ attains its maximum value $\phi\left(t_{0}\right)$, where $t_{0}=\frac{r^{2}}{\alpha+r^{2}}$ and $\phi^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)<0$. A calculation gives

$$
\phi\left(t_{0}\right)=\frac{r^{2}\left(\alpha+r^{2}\right)}{\alpha\left(1+\alpha+r^{2}\right)}
$$

and thus, we have

$$
\left|U_{F}(z)\right| \leq \frac{\alpha \phi\left(t_{0}\right)}{1-r^{2}}=\frac{r^{2}\left(\alpha+r^{2}\right)}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)\left(1+\alpha+r^{2}\right)}
$$

which is less than 1 if $2 r^{4}+2 \alpha r^{2}-(1+\alpha)<0$. This gives that $\left|U_{F}(z)\right|<1$ for $0<r \leq r_{5}(\alpha)$, where $r_{5}(\alpha)$ is the root of the equation $2 r^{4}+2 \alpha r^{2}-(1+\alpha)=0$, that lies in the interval $(0,1)$. The conclusion follows.

The choice $\alpha=1$ yields the following.
Corollary 3.15. If $f \in \mathcal{G}$, then $F$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{U}$ in the disk $|z|<$ $\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}} \approx 0.78615$.

Theorem 3.16. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$ with $a_{2}=f^{\prime \prime}(0) / 2$ !. Then $F$ belongs to $\mathcal{U}$ in the disk $|z|<r_{6}\left(\left|a_{2}\right|\right)$, where $r_{6}\left(\left|a_{2}\right|\right)$ is the root of the equation $\phi_{5}(r)=0$ that lies in the interval $(0,1)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{5}(r)=\left(a+1-\frac{1}{4} b^{2}\right) r^{10}-\left(5 a+5-\frac{5}{4} b^{2}\right) r^{8} & +\left(19 a+10-\frac{19}{4} b^{2}\right) r^{6} \\
& +\left(9 a-10-\frac{9}{4} b^{2}\right) r^{4}+5 r^{2}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

with $b=\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $a=\frac{2 \pi^{2}-12}{3} \approx 2.57974$.
Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and following the idea of [6, Theorem 4], we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{f(z)}{z}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n}(f) z^{n} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{n}(f)(n \geq 1)$ denote the logarithmic coefficients of $f$ with $c_{1}(f)=a_{2}$. Further, for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ the following sharp inequality is known from the work of Roth [13, Theorem 1.1]

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{2}\left|c_{n}(f)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{2 \pi^{2}-12}{3}=a
$$

By (3.17), we obtain

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n c_{n}(f) z^{n}
$$

which by the relation (3.4) gives that

$$
U_{F}(z)=-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n-1) c_{n}(f) z^{n}
$$

and thus, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|U_{F}(z)\right| & =\left|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) c_{n}(f) z^{n}\right| \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{2}\left|c_{n}(f)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(n^{2}-1\right)^{2}|z|^{2 n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq\left(a-\frac{1}{4}\left|c_{1}(f)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{|z|^{4}\left(|z|^{6}-5|z|^{4}+19|z|^{2}+9\right)}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{5}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is less than 1 whenever,

$$
\left(a-\frac{1}{4}\left|c_{1}(f)\right|^{2}\right)|z|^{4}\left(|z|^{6}-5|z|^{4}+19|z|^{2}+9\right)<\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{5} .
$$

| Values of $\left\|a_{2}\right\|$ | values of $r_{6}\left(\left\|a_{2}\right\|\right)$ | Values of $\left\|a_{2}\right\|$ | values of $r_{6}\left(\left\|a_{2}\right\|\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.25 | 0.361166 | 1.25 | 0.370874 |
| 0.5 | 0.362294 | 1.5 | 0.375923 |
| 0.75 | 0.364226 | 1.75 | 0.382504 |
| 1 | 0.367042 | 2 | 0.391124 |

Table 1. Values of $r_{6}\left(\left|a_{2}\right|\right)$ for different values of $\left|a_{2}\right|$

If we put $r=|z|$, then the last inequality is equivalent to $\phi_{5}(r):=\phi_{5}\left(r,\left|a_{2}\right|\right)<0$, where $\phi_{5}(r)$ is as in the statement. The desired result follows.
Corollary 3.18. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$ with $f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$, and $a=\frac{2 \pi^{2}-12}{3}$. Then $F$ belongs to $\mathcal{U}$ in the disk $|z|<r_{6}$, where $r_{6} \approx 0.360794$ is the root of the equation

$$
(a+1) r^{10}-5(a+1) r^{8}+(19 a+10) r^{6}+(9 a-10) r^{4}+5 r^{2}-1=0,
$$

that lies in the interval $(0,1)$.
Proof. Set $a_{2}=0$ in Theorem 3.16.
It is a simple exercise to see that the values $r_{6}\left(\left|a_{2}\right|\right)$, as the roots of the equation $\phi_{5}(r)=0$, increase with increasing values of $\left|a_{2}\right| \in[0,2]$. For a ready reference, we included in Table 1 a list of values of $r_{6}\left(\left|a_{2}\right|\right)$ for certain choices of $\left|a_{2}\right|$. This observation shows that if $f \in \mathcal{S}$, then $F \in \mathcal{U}$ in the disk $|z|<r$ and the lower bound for $r$ by Corollary 3.18 is $r_{6} \approx 0.360794$. We end the discussion with a conjecture that the upper bound for the value of $r$ is $\sqrt{2}-1$ which is attained by the Koebe function.
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