



Coefficients of the inverse of functions for the subclass of the class $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$

Milutin Obradović¹ · Nikola Tuneski²

Received: 7 March 2021 / Accepted: 28 April 2021 / Published online: 16 September 2021
© Forum D'Analystes, Chennai 2021

Abstract

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of functions f that are analytic in the unit disk \mathbb{D} and normalized such that $f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \dots$. Let $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ and

$$\mathcal{U}(\lambda) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \left| \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^2 f'(z) - 1 \right| < \lambda, z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}.$$

In this paper sharp upper bounds of the first three coefficients of the inverse function f^{-1} are given in the case when

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1}{(1-z)(1-\lambda z)}.$$

Keywords Univalent · Inverse functions · Coefficients · Sharp bound

Mathematics Subject Classification 30C45

Communicated by Samy Ponnusamy.

✉ Nikola Tuneski
nikola.tuneski@mf.edu.mk

Milutin Obradović
obrad@grf.bg.ac.rs

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Civil Engineering, University of Belgrade, Bulevar Kralja Aleksandra 73, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia

² Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, Karpoš II b.b., 1000 Skopje, Republic of North Macedonia

Let \mathcal{A} denote the family of all analytic functions in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ satisfying the normalization $f(0) = 0 = f'(0) - 1$. Let \mathcal{S} denote the subclass of \mathcal{A} which consists of univalent functions in \mathbb{D} and let $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, denote the set of all $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition

$$\left| \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^2 f'(z) - 1 \right| < \lambda \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{1}$$

For $\lambda = 1$ we put $\mathcal{U}(1) = \mathcal{U}$. More about these classes can be found in [5–8, 10].

In [7] it was claimed that all functions f from $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ satisfy

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1}{(1+z)(1+\lambda z)}. \tag{2}$$

Here “ \prec ” denotes the usual subordination, i.e., $F(z) \prec G(z)$, for f and G being analytic functions in \mathbb{D} , means that there exists a function $\omega(z)$, also analytic in \mathbb{D} , such that $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Recently, in [3], the authors gave a counterexample that the subordination (2) is not necessarily satisfied by all functions from $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$.

For the functions f from $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ satisfying subordination (2) we have

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} = \frac{1}{(1 - \omega(z))(1 - \lambda\omega(z))}, \tag{3}$$

where ω is a Schwarz function, i.e., it is analytic in \mathbb{D} , $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1, z \in \mathbb{D}$. Let’s put

$$\omega(z) = c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$$

Later on we will use the fact due to Schur [9] that $|c_2| \leq 1 - |c_1|^2$ (which can be found also in Carlson’s work [1]).

Further, the inequality (1) for the function f from $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ can be rewritten in the following, equivalent, form

$$\left| \frac{z}{f(z)} - z \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)' - 1 \right| < \lambda \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

and further

$$\left| \frac{z}{f(z)} - z \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)' - 1 \right| \leq \lambda |z|^2 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

From here, after some calculations we obtain

$$|(1 + \lambda)c_2 - \lambda c_1^2 + (2(1 + \lambda)c_3 - 4\lambda c_1 c_2)z + \dots| \leq \lambda$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and next,

$$|(1 + \lambda)c_2 - \lambda c_1^2| \leq \lambda, \quad |2(1 + \lambda)c_3 - 4\lambda c_1 c_2| \leq \lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda} |(1 + \lambda)c_2 - \lambda c_1^2|^2, \quad (4)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The last inequality follows from the result of Carlson for the second coefficient of Schwarz functions cited above.

If $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and

$$f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots, \quad (5)$$

then the inverse of f has an expansion

$$f^{-1}(w) = w + A_2 w^2 + A_3 w^3 + \cdots \quad (6)$$

near the origin (or precisely at least in $|w| < \frac{1}{4}$). By using the identity $f(f^{-1}(w)) = w$ and the representations for the functions f and f^{-1} , we can obtain the next relations

$$\begin{cases} A_2 = -a_2, \\ A_3 = -a_3 + 2a_2^2, \\ A_4 = -a_4 + 5a_2 a_3 - 5a_2^3. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

The main results of this paper are the sharp upper bounds for the modulus of these three initial coefficients of f^{-1} .

Theorem 1 *Let $f \in \mathcal{U}(\lambda)$, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, satisfy the subordination (2), and let f and f^{-1} be given by (5) and (6), respectively. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} |A_2| &\leq 1 + \lambda, \\ |A_3| &\leq 1 + 3\lambda + \lambda^2, \\ |A_4| &\leq (1 + \lambda)(1 + 5\lambda + \lambda^2). \end{aligned}$$

All these results are best possible.

Proof For $f \in \mathcal{U}(\lambda)$, from the relation (3) we have (see [4, 7])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+1} z^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \lambda^{n+1}}{1 - \lambda} \omega^n(z). \quad (8)$$

If we put $\omega(z) = c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots$, then from (8) by comparing the coefficients we obtain

$$\begin{cases} a_2 = (1 + \lambda)c_1, \\ a_3 = (1 + \lambda)c_2 + (1 + \lambda + \lambda^2)c_1^2, \\ a_4 = (1 + \lambda)c_3 + 2(1 + \lambda + \lambda^2)c_1 c_2 + (1 + \lambda + \lambda^2 + \lambda^3)c_1^3. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Using (7) and (9) we also have

$$\begin{cases} A_2 = -(1 + \lambda)c_1, \\ A_3 = -(1 + \lambda)c_2 + (1 + 3\lambda + \lambda^2)c_1^2, \\ A_4 = -(1 + \lambda)c_3 + (3 + 8\lambda + 3\lambda^2)c_1c_2 - (1 + \lambda)(1 + 5\lambda + \lambda^2)c_1^3. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Since $|c_1| \leq 1$ and $|c_2| \leq 1 - |c_1|^2$, from (10) we receive

$$|A_2| \leq 1 + \lambda$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |A_3| &\leq (1 + \lambda)|c_2| + (1 + 3\lambda + \lambda^2)|c_1|^2 \\ &\leq (1 + \lambda)(1 - |c_1|^2) + (1 + 3\lambda + \lambda^2)|c_1|^2 \\ &\leq (1 + \lambda) + (2\lambda + \lambda^2)|c_1|^2 \\ &\leq 1 + 3\lambda + \lambda^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also, from (10) we obtain

$$A_4 = -\frac{1}{2} \left[2(1 + \lambda)c_3 - 4\lambda c_1c_2 - 6(1 + \lambda)c_1((1 + \lambda)c_2 - \lambda c_1^2) + 2(1 + \lambda)^3c_1^3 \right],$$

and from here, by applying (4),

$$\begin{aligned} |A_4| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[|2(1 + \lambda)c_3 - 4\lambda c_1c_2| + 6(1 + \lambda)|c_1| |(1 + \lambda)c_2 - \lambda c_1^2| + 2(1 + \lambda)^3|c_1|^3 \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda} |(1 + \lambda)c_2 - \lambda c_1^2|^2 + 6(1 + \lambda)|c_1| |(1 + \lambda)c_2 - \lambda c_1^2| + 2(1 + \lambda)^3|c_1|^3 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda} t^2 + 6(1 + \lambda)|c_1|t + 2(1 + \lambda)^3|c_1|^3 \right] \\ &=: \frac{1}{2}h(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $t = |(1 + \lambda)c_2 - \lambda c_1^2|$ and $0 \leq t \leq \lambda$, since

$$|(1 + \lambda)c_2 - \lambda c_1^2| \leq (1 + \lambda)|c_2| + \lambda|c_1|^2 \leq (1 + \lambda)(1 - |c_1|^2) + \lambda|c_1|^2 = \lambda.$$

As for the maximal value of the function h , we consider two cases:

Case 1: When $0 \leq |c_1| \leq \frac{1}{3(1+\lambda)}$ the function h attains its maximum for $t_0 = 3(1 + \lambda)\lambda|c_1|$ and we have

$$h(t_0) \leq \lambda + 27\lambda(1 + \lambda)^2|c_1|^2 + 2(1 + \lambda)^3|c_1|^3 \leq 4\lambda + \frac{2}{27},$$

i.e.,

$$|A_4| \leq 2\lambda + \frac{1}{27}.$$

Case 2: For $\frac{1}{3(1+\lambda)} \leq |c_1| \leq 1$, the function h attains its maximum for $t = \lambda$ and we have

$$h(t) \leq 6(1 + \lambda)\lambda|c_1| + 2(1 + \lambda)^3|c_1|^3 \leq 2(1 + \lambda)(1 + 5\lambda + \lambda^2),$$

when $0 \leq t \leq \lambda$. So,

$$|A_4| \leq (1 + \lambda)(1 + 5\lambda + \lambda^2).$$

From cases 1 and 2, since $(1 + \lambda)(1 + 5\lambda + \lambda^2) > 2\lambda + \frac{1}{27}$ when $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, we receive the estimate for $|A_4|$.

For the proof of sharpness of the theorem, let us consider the function

$$w = f_\lambda(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)(1-\lambda z)}.$$

Then

$$z = f_\lambda^{-1}(w) = w - (1 + \lambda)w^2 + (1 + 3\lambda + \lambda^2)w^3 - (1 + \lambda)(1 + 5\lambda + \lambda^2)w^4 - \dots, \quad (11)$$

which shows that our results are the best possible. \square

Note that for $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 1 we have the estimates for class \mathcal{U} and in that case the inverse of the Koebe function is extremal, as for the class \mathcal{S} (see, for example Goodman's book, Vol II, p. 205, [2]).

In the next theorem we study the Fekete-Szegő functional for the inverse functions of the class $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$. Namely, we have

Theorem 2 For the inverse functions of functions from $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, satisfying subordination (2), we have

$$|A_3 - \mu A_2^2| \leq \lambda + |1 - \mu|(1 + \lambda)^2,$$

where μ is a complex number. The result is sharp for $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$.

Proof From the relations (10) and (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |A_3 - \mu A_2^2| &= |-(1 + \lambda)c_2 + (1 + 3\lambda + \lambda^2)c_1^2 - \mu(1 + \lambda)^2 c_1^2| \\ &= |-(1 + \lambda)c_2 - \lambda c_1^2 + (1 - \mu)(1 + \lambda)^2 c_1^2| \\ &\leq |(1 + \lambda)c_2 - \lambda c_1^2| + |1 - \mu|(1 + \lambda)^2 |c_1|^2 \\ &\leq \lambda + |1 - \mu|(1 + \lambda)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The sharpness of the estimate in the case when $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ follows from the function f_λ^{-1} defined by (11). \square

Funding The authors did not receive any funding for the research published in this article.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

References

1. Carlson, F. 1940. Sur les coefficients d'une fonction bornée dans le cercle unité. *Arkiv för Matematik, Astronomi och Fysik* 27A (1): 8.
2. Goodman, A.W. 1983. *Univalent functions*, vol. 1–2. Tampa: Mariner.
3. Li L., S. Ponnusamy and K.J. Wirths. Relations of the class $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ to other families of functions. [arXiv:2104.05346](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.05346).
4. Obradović, M. 1995. Starlikeness and certain class of rational functions. *Mathematische Nachrichten* 175: 263–268.
5. Obradović, M., and S. Ponnusamy. 2001. New criteria and distortion theorems for univalent functions. *Complex Variables, Theories and Applications* 44: 173–191 (**Also Reports of the Department of Mathematics, Preprint 190, June 1998, University of Helsinki, Finland**).
6. Obradović, M., S. Ponnusamy. 2011. On the class \mathcal{U} . In Proc. 21st Annual Conference of the Jammu Math. Soc. and a National Seminar on Analysis and its Application, 11–26.
7. Obradović, M., S. Ponnusamy, and K.J. Wirths. 2016. Geometric studies on the class $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$. *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society* 39 (3): 1259–1284.
8. Obradović, M., and N. Tuneski. 2019. Some properties of the class \mathcal{U} . *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, sectio AA* 73 (1): 49–56.
9. Schur, I. 1917. Über Potenzreihen, die im Innern des Einheitskreises beschränkt sind. (German). *The Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik* 147: 205–232.
10. Thomas, D.K., N. Tuneski, and A. Vasudevarao. 2018. *Univalent functions. A primer. De Gruyter studies in mathematics*, vol. 69. Berlin: De Gruyter.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.