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#### Abstract

Let $\mathscr{A}$ be the class of analytic functions in the unit disk $\mathbf{D}$ with the normalization $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$. For $\lambda>0$, denote by $\mathscr{M}(\lambda)$ the class of functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ which satisfy the condition $$
\left|z^{2}\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}+f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2}-1\right| \leq \lambda, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

We show that functions in $\mathscr{M}(1)$ are univalent in $\mathbf{D}$ and we present one parameter family of functions in $\mathscr{M}(1)$ that are also starlike in $\mathbf{D}$. In addition to certain inclusion results, we also present characterization formula, necessary and sufficient coefficient conditions for functions in $\mathscr{M}(\lambda)$, and a radius property of $\mathscr{M}(1)$.


## 1. Introduction and main results

Let $\mathscr{H}$ be the class of analytic functions in the unit disk $\mathbf{D}:=\{z \in \mathbf{C}$ : $|z|<1\}$, mapping $\mathbf{D}$ into the complex plane $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathscr{A}$ be the class of functions $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$ in $\mathscr{H}$. Let $\mathscr{S}$ denote the class of functions $f$ in $\mathscr{A}$ such that $f$ is univalent in $\mathbf{D}$. For $\lambda>0$, a function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ is said to belong to the class $\mathscr{U}(\lambda)$ if

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2}-1\right| \leq \lambda, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

Denote by $\mathscr{P}(\lambda)$, the subclass of $\mathscr{A}$, consisting of functions $f$ for which

$$
\left|\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq 2 \lambda, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

[^0]Set $\mathscr{U}(1):=\mathscr{U}$ and $\mathscr{P}(1):=\mathscr{P}$, see $[4,9]$. We have the strict inclusion $\mathscr{P} \subsetneq$ $\mathscr{U} \subsetneq \mathscr{S}$ (see $[1,4,10]$ for a proof). Many properties of the classes $\mathscr{U}(\lambda)$ and $\mathscr{P}(\lambda)$ have been studied extensively in [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. More generally

$$
\mathscr{P}(\lambda) \subsetneq \mathscr{U}(\lambda) \subsetneq \mathscr{S} \text { for } 0<\lambda \leq 1
$$

and for a proof of this inclusion, we refer to [5]. Also, it is well-known that there are only nine functions in $\mathscr{S}$ having integral coefficients in the power series expansions of $f \in \mathscr{S}$ (see [3]). That is, if we set $\mathscr{S}_{\mathbf{Z}}=\left\{f \in \mathscr{S}: a_{n} \in \mathbf{Z}\right\}$, then

$$
\mathscr{S}_{\mathbf{Z}}=\left\{z, \frac{z}{(1 \pm z)^{2}}, \frac{z}{1 \pm z}, \frac{z}{1 \pm z^{2}}, \frac{z}{1 \pm z+z^{2}}\right\}
$$

Further, it is easy to see that the corresponding $g \in \mathscr{S}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ have the property that $g^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{g(z)}\right)^{2}-1 \in\left\{0,-z^{2}, 0, \mp z^{2},-z^{2}\right\} \quad$ and $\quad z^{2}\left(\frac{z}{g(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime} \in\left\{0,2 z^{2}, 0, \mp 2 z^{2}, 2 z^{2}\right\}$, respectively. Consequently, we obtain the interesting fact that each function in $\mathscr{S}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ belongs to $\mathscr{U} \cap \mathscr{P}$. Finally, we observe that

$$
z^{2}\left(\frac{z}{g(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{g(z)}\right)^{2}-1 \in\left\{0, z^{2}, 0, \mp z^{2}, z^{2}\right\}
$$

In view of this observation, we introduce the following:
Definition 1. For $\lambda>0$, a function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ is said to belong to the class $\mathscr{M}(\lambda)$ if $\left|M_{f}(z)\right| \leq \lambda$ for $z \in \mathbf{D}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{f}(z)=z^{2}\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}+f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2}-1 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, denote the class $\mathscr{M}(1)$ by $\mathscr{M}$.
Now, we state our main results and the proofs of these will be given in Section 3.

Theorem 1 (Inclusion property). For $0<\lambda \leq 1$, we have the strict inclusion $\mathscr{M}(\lambda) \subsetneq \mathscr{U}(\lambda) \cap \mathscr{P}(\lambda) \subsetneq \mathscr{S}$. In particular, $\mathscr{M} \subsetneq \mathscr{U} \cap \mathscr{P}=\mathscr{P} \subsetneq \mathscr{S}$.

From the earlier discussion and Theorem 1, we easily see that

$$
\mathscr{S}_{\mathbf{Z}} \subsetneq \mathscr{M} \subsetneq \mathscr{P} \subsetneq \mathscr{U} \subsetneq \mathscr{S}
$$

and it is worth recalling that the Koebe function belongs to $\mathscr{M}$.
Example 1. Consider the function $f$ defined by

$$
\frac{z}{f(z)}=1+\frac{1}{2} z+\frac{\lambda}{2} z^{3}
$$

where $0<\lambda \leq 1$. Then

$$
\left|\frac{z}{f(z)}\right| \geq 1-\frac{1}{2}|z|-(\lambda / 2)|z|^{3}>\frac{1-\lambda}{2} \geq 0
$$

and so $z / f(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{D}$ whenever $0<\lambda \leq 1$. Further

$$
f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2}-1=-\lambda z^{3} \quad \text { and } \quad M_{f}(z)=2 \lambda z^{3}
$$

so that there exists a function $f \in \mathscr{U}(\lambda)$ such that $f \notin \mathscr{M}(\lambda)$. Also, for each $\mu$ with $|\mu| \leq 1 / 2$, it is easy to see that the function $f$ defined by

$$
f(z)=\frac{z}{1+\mu z+\frac{1}{2} z^{3}}
$$

belongs $\mathscr{U} \backslash \mathscr{M}$.
Theorem 2 (Sufficiency coefficient condition). Let $\phi(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$ be a non-vanishing analytic function in $\mathbf{D}$ that satisfy the coefficient condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2}\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \lambda . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the function $f$ defined by $f(z)=z / \phi(z)$ is in $\mathscr{M}(\lambda)$.
For example, according to (2) with $\lambda=1$, each function in $\mathscr{S}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ belongs to $\mathscr{M}$.
Let $\mathscr{S}^{*}$ denote the class of univalent functions in $f \in \mathscr{S}$ such that the range $f(\mathbf{D})$ is a starlike domain (with respect to the origin). Analytically, $f \in \mathscr{S}^{*}$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re}\left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right)>0$ in D.

It is easy to see that each $g \in \mathscr{S}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ is starlike in $\mathbf{D}$. Also, it has been shown that for arbitrarily small values of $\lambda$ we have $\mathscr{U}(\lambda) \not \subset \mathscr{S}^{*}$. Indeed, Fournier and Ponnusamy [2, Theorem 3] obtained that every function $f(z)=$ $z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n}(f) z^{n} \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2}-1\right|<\frac{-\left|a_{2}(f)\right|+\sqrt{2-\left|a_{2}(f)\right|^{2}}}{2}, \quad|z|<1
$$

belongs to $\mathscr{S}^{*}$. Moreover, there exists a non-starlike function $f \in \mathscr{U}$ such that

$$
0<\frac{-\left|a_{2}(f)\right|+\sqrt{2-\left|a_{2}(f)\right|^{2}}}{2}<\sup _{|z|<1 \mid}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2}-1\right| \leq 1-\left|a_{2}(f)\right| .
$$

In particular, $\mathscr{U} \not \subset \mathscr{S}^{*}$. Moreover, Theorem 1 shows that $\mathscr{M} \subsetneq \mathscr{U}$ and therefore, it is natural to ask whether the class $\mathscr{M}$ is included in $\mathscr{S}^{*}$. This remains an open question.

If $f$ and $g$ are analytic functions on $\mathbf{D}$ with $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ and $g(z)=$ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$, then the convolution (Hadamard product) of $f$ and $g$, denoted by $f * g$, is an analytic function on $\mathbf{D}$ given by

$$
(f * g)(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} .
$$

Although $\mathscr{U}$ is not included in $\mathscr{M}$, in the following result, we show that the class $\mathscr{U}$ can be used to construct functions belonging to $\mathscr{M}$.

Theorem 3 (Multiplier theorem). Let $f \in \mathscr{U}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ and $g \in \mathscr{U}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$ have the form

$$
\frac{z}{f(z)}=1+b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{z}{g(z)}=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots
$$

and such that $\frac{z}{f(z)} * \frac{z}{g(z)} \neq 0$ on $\mathbf{D}$. Then the function $H$ defined by

$$
H(z)=\frac{z}{(z / f(z)) *(z / g(z))}
$$

is in the class $\mathscr{M}(\lambda)$, where $\lambda=\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}$. In particular, if $f, g \in \mathscr{U}$ then $H \in \mathscr{M}$.
Corollary 1 (Necessary coefficient condition). Let $f \in \mathscr{M}$ of the form

$$
\frac{z}{f(z)}=1+b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+\cdots .
$$

Then we have

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{4}\left|b_{n}\right|^{2} \leq 1 .
$$

At this place it is appropriate to remind the reader of the fact that the inequality $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\left|b_{n}\right|^{2} \leq 1$ follows merely from the condition $f \in \mathscr{S}$ for the expansion $\frac{z}{f(z)}=1+b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$. This result is known as the Prawitz theorem which is indeed an immediate consequence of Gronwall's area theorem. Thus, the necessary condition given in Corollary 1 is much stronger than this result.

Theorem 4 (Characterization theorem). Every $f \in \mathscr{M}(\lambda)$ has the representation

$$
\frac{z}{f(z)}=1-\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(0)}{2} z+\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \frac{w(t z)}{t^{2}} \log (1 / t) d t
$$

for some $w: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ with $w(0)=w^{\prime}(0)=0$.

Let $\mathscr{F}$ and $\mathscr{G}$ be two subclasses of $\mathscr{A}$. If for every $f \in \mathscr{F}, r^{-1} f(r z) \in \mathscr{G}$ for $r \leq r_{0}$, and $r_{0}$ is the maximum value for which this holds, then we say that $r_{0}$ is the $\mathscr{G}$-radius in $\mathscr{F}$. There are many results of this type in the theory of univalent functions, see $[8]$ and the references therein.

Because $\mathscr{M} \subsetneq \mathscr{U}$, it is natural to investigate the $\mathscr{M}$-radius in $\mathscr{U}$.
Theorem 5 (Radii property). If $f \in \mathscr{U}$ and $g(z)=\frac{1}{r} f(r z)$, then $g \in \mathscr{M}$ for $0<r \leq r_{0}$, where $r_{0} \approx 0.62977$ is the unique positive root of the equation $2 r^{6}-2 r^{4}+3 r^{2}-1=0$.

## 2. Preliminary lemmas

Let $\mathscr{P}_{n}$ denote the class of functions $p$ in $\mathscr{H}$ such that $p^{(k)}(0)=0$ for $k=0,1,2, \ldots, n$, where $p^{(0)}(0)=p(0)$. We set

$$
\mathscr{B}_{n}=\left\{w \in \mathscr{H}:|w(z)| \leq 1, w^{(k)}(0)=0 \text { for } k=0,1, \ldots, n\right\} .
$$

Lemma 1. Suppose that $p \in \mathscr{P}_{n}, \lambda>0$ and $\alpha$ is a complex number such that $\operatorname{Re}(1 /(1-\alpha))>-n$. If $p$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(1-\alpha) z p^{\prime}(z)+\alpha p(z)\right| \leq \lambda, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
|p(z)| \leq \frac{\lambda|z|^{n+1}}{|1-\alpha|(n+\operatorname{Re}(1 /(1-\alpha)))}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

Proof. First, we rewrite (3) as

$$
(1-\alpha) z p^{\prime}(z)+\alpha p(z)=\lambda w(z)
$$

where $w \in \mathscr{B}_{n}$. Now, by integration, we get

$$
p(z)=\frac{\lambda}{1-\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} t^{(\alpha /(1-\alpha))-1} w(t z) d t
$$

Because $|w(z)| \leq|z|^{n+1}$ for $z \in \mathbf{D}$ by Schwarz' lemma, we obtain that

$$
|p(z)| \leq \frac{\lambda}{|1-\alpha|}\left(\frac{|z|^{n+1}}{n+1+\operatorname{Re}(\alpha /(1-\alpha))}\right), \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

and the desired conclusion follows.
Corollary 2. Suppose that $p \in \mathscr{P}_{n}, \lambda>0$ and $\alpha \neq 1$ is a real number such that $n+1 /(1-\alpha)>0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(1-\alpha) z p^{\prime}(z)+\alpha p(z)\right| \leq \lambda \Rightarrow|p(z)| \leq \frac{\lambda(1-\alpha)}{|1-\alpha|(n(1-\alpha)+1)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbf{D}$.

Suppose that $0 \neq \alpha<1$. Then (4) becomes

$$
\left|\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1\right) z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z)\right| \leq \frac{\lambda}{|\alpha|} \Rightarrow|p(z)| \leq \frac{\lambda}{n(1-\alpha)+1)}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} .
$$

Now, if we allow $\alpha \rightarrow-\infty$, then the last relation gives that

$$
\left|-z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z)\right| \leq 0 \Rightarrow|p(z)| \leq 0, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

so that $p(z)=0$ is the only solution which satisfies the above implication. Now, we state an improved version of it.

Lemma 2. Suppose that $p \in \mathscr{P}_{n}(n \geq 1)$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|-z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z)\right| \leq \lambda, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\lambda>0$. Then we have

$$
|p(z)| \leq \frac{\lambda|z|^{n+1}}{n} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|z p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \lambda|z|^{n+1}\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad z \in \mathbf{D} .
$$

Proof. The condition (5) implies that

$$
-z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z)=\lambda w(z)
$$

where $w \in \mathscr{B}_{n}$. It follows easily that

$$
p(z)=-\lambda \int_{0}^{1} t^{-2} w(t z) d t \quad \text { and } \quad-z p^{\prime}(z)=\lambda w(z)+\lambda \int_{0}^{1} t^{-2} w(t z) d t
$$

Because $|w(z)| \leq|z|^{n+1}$ for $z \in \mathbf{D}$, by Schwarz' lemma, the desired conclusion follows from the last two formulas.

We state the above lemmas in a general form in order to apply them for functions with missing coefficients. However, for our application the case $n=1$ suffices. Setting $n=1$ in Lemma 2, we have

Corollary 3. Suppose that $p$ is analytic in $\mathbf{D}, p(0)=p^{\prime}(0)=0$ and satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|-z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z)\right| \leq \lambda, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\lambda>0$. Then we have

$$
|p(z)| \leq \lambda|z|^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|z p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq 2 \lambda|z|^{2}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} .
$$

## 3. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2} f^{\prime}(z)-1=-z\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{z}{f(z)}-1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $p$ is analytic in $\mathbf{D}, p(0)=p^{\prime}(0)=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-z p^{\prime}(z)=z^{2}\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { and } \quad-z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z)=M_{f}(z) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{f}$ is defined by (1). Now, suppose that $f \in \mathscr{M}(\lambda)$. Then, we obtain that

$$
\left|-z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z)\right| \leq \lambda, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

By Corollary 3, it follows that
$|p(z)|=\left|\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2} f^{\prime}(z)-1\right| \leq \lambda|z|^{2} \quad$ and $\quad\left|z p^{\prime}(z)\right|=\left|z^{2}\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq 2 \lambda|z|^{2}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}$ and therefore, $f \in \mathscr{U}(\lambda) \cap \mathscr{P}(\lambda)$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $f$ be given by $f(z)=z / \phi(z)$, where $\phi(z) \neq 0$ in D. Then the power series representation of $\phi$ gives

$$
\frac{z}{f(z)}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} .
$$

By (7) and (8), it follows easily that

$$
M_{f}(z)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2} b_{n} z^{n} .
$$

Thus, using the coefficient condition (2), we deduce that

$$
\left|M_{f}(z)\right| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2}\left|b_{n}\right||z|^{n} \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2}\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \lambda
$$

and therefore, $f \in \mathscr{M}(\lambda)$.
Proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that $f \in \mathscr{U}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ and $g \in \mathscr{U}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$. By hypotheses, $\frac{z}{H(z)} \neq 0$ for $z \in \mathbf{D}$, and $f$ and $g$ have the power series representation of the form

$$
\frac{z}{f(z)}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{z}{g(z)}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}
$$

respectively. As $f \in \mathscr{U}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\left|-z\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{z}{f(z)}-1\right|=\left|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1) b_{n} z^{n}\right| \leq \lambda_{1}
$$

Therefore, with $z=r e^{i \theta}$ for $r \in(0,1)$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi$, the last inequality gives

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2}\left|b_{n}\right|^{2} r^{2 n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1) b_{n} z^{n}\right|^{2} d \theta \leq \lambda_{1}^{2}
$$

Allowing $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$, we obtain the inequality

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2}\left|b_{n}\right|^{2} \leq \lambda_{1}^{2}
$$

Similarly, as $g \in \mathscr{U}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2} \leq \lambda_{2}^{2}
$$

Now, since

$$
\frac{z}{f(z)} * \frac{z}{g(z)}=1+b_{1} c_{1} z+b_{2} c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots
$$

and

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2}\left|b_{n}\right|\left|c_{n}\right| \leq\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2}\left|b_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}
$$

by (2), we conclude that $H \in \mathscr{M}(\lambda), \lambda=\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}$.
Proof of Corollary 1. As in the proof of Theorems 2 and 3, we see that

$$
M_{f}(z)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2} b_{n} z^{n}
$$

and therefore, we easily have the desired necessary condition.
Proof of Theorem 4. Let $f \in \mathscr{M}(\lambda)$. By assumption,

$$
M_{f}(z)=\lambda w(z)
$$

for some $w \in \mathscr{B}_{1}$. Let $\phi(z)=1+b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{z}+\cdots$ denote $z / f(z)$. Then

$$
M_{f}(z)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2} b_{n} z^{n}=\lambda w(z)
$$

which leads to

$$
\phi(z)-1-b_{1} z=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}=\lambda z \operatorname{Li}_{2}(z) * w(z),
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{Li}_{2}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{n^{2}}
$$

is the dilogarithm. By using the well-known representation

$$
\mathrm{Li}_{2}(z)=z \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\log (1 / t)}{1-t z} d t
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(z) & =1+b_{1} z+\lambda w(z) * z^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\log (1 / t)}{1-t z} d t \\
& =1+b_{1} z+\lambda \int_{0}^{1} w(z) * \frac{z^{2}}{1-t z} \log (1 / t) d t \\
& =1+b_{1} z+\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \frac{w(t z)}{t^{2}} \log (1 / t) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $b_{1}=-f^{\prime \prime}(0) / 2$, the desired representation follows.
Proof of Theorem 5. Let $f \in \mathscr{U}$. Then, because $f$ is univalent, $f$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{z}{1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f \in \mathscr{U}$, we have (see the proof of Theorem 3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2}\left|b_{n}\right|^{2} \leq 1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need to show that $\frac{1}{r} f(r z) \in \mathscr{M}$ for $0<r \leq r_{0}$ where $r_{0} \approx 0.62977$ is the root of the equation $r^{4}\left(1+r^{2}\right)=\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{3}$ lying in the interval $(0,1)$.

Using (9), for $0<r \leq 1$, we can write

$$
\frac{z}{\frac{1}{r} f(r z)}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(b_{n} r^{n}\right) z^{n}
$$

According to Theorem 2, it suffices to show that

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2}\left|b_{n} r^{n}\right| \leq 1
$$

for $0<r \leq r_{0}$.
Now, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (10),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2}\left|b_{n}\right| r^{n} & \leq\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2}\left|b_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2} r^{2 n}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2} r^{2 n}\right)^{1 / 2}=\left(\frac{r^{4}\left(1+r^{2}\right)}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{3}}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, for $0<r \leq r_{0}$, the last expression is less than or equal to 1 . The proof is complete.
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