## Provided for non-commercial research and education use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

## Volume 25, Number 10, October 2012

## Applied Mathematics <br> Letters

an international journal of rapid publication

Editor-in-Chief: Alan Tucker

SciVerse ScienceDirect

This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:
http://www.elsevier.com/copyright

## On a class of univalent functions

M. Obradovića ${ }^{\text {a }}$ S. Ponnusamy ${ }^{\text {b,* }}$<br>${ }^{\text {a }}$ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Civil Engineering, University of Belgrade, Bulevar Kralja Aleksandra 73, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia<br>${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai-600 036, India

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 15 March 2010
Received in revised form 4 June 2011
Accepted 2 December 2011

## Keywords:

Coefficient inequality
Analytic
Hadamard convolution
Univalent and starlike functions

## ABSTRACT

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the class of analytic functions in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ with the normalization $f(0)=$ $f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$. Denote by $\mathcal{N}$ the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ which satisfy the condition

$$
\left|-z^{3}\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}+f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2}-1\right| \leq 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

We show that functions in $\mathcal{N}$ are univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ but not necessarily starlike. Also, we present the characterization formula, necessary and sufficient coefficient conditions for functions to be in the class $\mathcal{N}$.
© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction and main results

Let $\mathscr{H}$ be the class of analytic functions in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ and $\mathscr{A}$ be the class of functions $f(z)=$ $z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$ in $\mathscr{H}$. Let $s$ denote the class of functions $f$ in $\mathscr{A}$ such that $f$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. We consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{U}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}:\left|f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2}-1\right| \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{D}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{P}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}:\left|\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq 2, z \in \mathbb{D}\right\}, \text { and } \\
& \mathcal{M}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}:\left|M_{f}(z)\right| \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{D}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
M_{f}(z)=z^{2}\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}+f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2}-1
$$

Recently, the authors [1] have studied the class $\mathcal{M}$, and obtained the strict inclusion

$$
\mathcal{M} \subsetneq \mathcal{P} \subsetneq U \subsetneq \mathcal{U}
$$

Many properties of the classes $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ and their generalizations have been studied extensively in [2-5,1]. Also, it is well-known that (see [6]) if we set $\delta_{\mathbb{Z}}=\left\{f \in \delta: a_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$, then

$$
s_{\mathbb{Z}}=\left\{z, \frac{z}{(1 \pm z)^{2}}, \frac{z}{1 \pm z}, \frac{z}{1 \pm z^{2}}, \frac{z}{1 \pm z+z^{2}}\right\}
$$

Further, it has been verified that $s_{\mathbb{Z}} \subsetneq \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{M}$ (see [1, Theorem1]).

[^0]In this article, we consider the class $\mathcal{N}$ of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ which satisfy the condition $\left|N_{f}(z)\right| \leq 1$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{f}(z)=-z^{3}\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}+f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2}-1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show that the class $\mathcal{N}$ possesses many interesting properties.
First, we observe that it is a simple exercise to see that $s_{\mathbb{Z}} \subsetneq \mathcal{N}$ and so, we have the interesting strict inclusion $s_{\mathbb{Z}} \subsetneq \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}$. It is worth remembering that the Koebe function belongs to the class $\mathcal{N}$ and therefore, is of our interest in this paper.

Now, we state our main results and the proofs of these will be given in Section 3.
Theorem 1 (Inclusion Property). We have the strict inclusion $\mathcal{N} \subsetneq \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{U}=\mathcal{M}$.
Example 1. Consider the function $f$ defined by

$$
\frac{z}{f(z)}=1+\frac{1}{2} z+\frac{\lambda}{2} z^{3}
$$

where $0<\lambda \leq 1$. Then we see that $z / f(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$. Further

$$
f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2}-1=-\lambda z^{3}, \quad M_{f}(z)=2 \lambda z^{3}, \quad \text { and } \quad N_{f}(z)=-4 \lambda z^{3}
$$

Thus, if $1 / 2<\lambda \leq 1$, then we see that $f \in \mathcal{U}$ whereas $f \notin \mathcal{M}$ and $f \notin \mathcal{N}$. Thus, there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $f$ is neither in $\mathcal{N}$ nor in $\mathcal{M}$.

Theorem 2 (Sufficiency Coefficient Condition). Let $\phi(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$ be a non-vanishing analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ and that it satisfies the coefficient condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{3}\left|b_{n}\right| \leq 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the function $f$ defined by $f(z)=z / \phi(z)$ is in $\mathcal{N}$.
For example, according to (2), each function in $\delta_{\mathbb{Z}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{N}$.
Let $\delta^{*}$ denote the class of univalent functions in $f \in \delta$ such that the range $f(\mathbb{D})$ is a starlike domain (with respect to the origin). Analytically, $f \in s^{*}$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re}\left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right)>0$ in $\mathbb{D}$. As $\mathcal{N} \subsetneq \mathcal{M}$, it is natural to ask whether the class $\mathcal{N}$ is included in $s^{*}$. Our computation leads to the following conjecture, although we are not able to prove it for the moment.

Conjecture 1. Neither the class $\mathcal{M}$ nor the class $\mathcal{N}$ is included in $8^{*}$.
If $f$ and $g$ are analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ with $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ and $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$, then the convolution (Hadamard product) of $f$ and $g$, denoted by $f * g$, is an analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$ given by

$$
(f * g)(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Although $\mathcal{U}$ is neither included in $\mathcal{N}$ nor in $\mathcal{M}$, in the following result, we show that the classes $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ can be used to extract functions to belong to $\mathcal{N}$.

Theorem 3 (Multiplier Theorem). Let $f \in \mathcal{U}$ and $g \in \mathcal{M}$ have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z}{f(z)}=1+b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{z}{g(z)}=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and such that $\frac{z}{f(z)} * \frac{z}{g(z)} \neq 0$ on $\mathbb{D}$. Then the function $H$ defined by

$$
H(z)=\frac{z}{(z / f(z)) *(z / g(z))}
$$

is in the class $\mathcal{N}$. More generally, if $f \in \mathcal{U}$ and $g \in \mathcal{P}$, then $H \in \mathcal{N}$. In particular, if $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ then $H \in \mathcal{N}$.
Corollary 1 (Necessary Coefficient Condition). Let $f \in \mathcal{N}$ and have the form (3). Then we have

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{6}\left|b_{n}\right|^{2} \leq 1
$$

Proof. As in the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3, we see that

$$
N_{f}(z)=-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{3} b_{n} z^{n}
$$

where $N_{f}(z)$ is defined by (1), and therefore, we easily have the desired necessary condition.
Theorem 4 (Characterization Theorem). Every $f \in \mathcal{N}$ has the representation

$$
\frac{z}{f(z)}=1-\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(0)}{2} z+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{(\log (1 / t))^{2}}{t^{2}} w(t z) d t
$$

for some $w: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ with $w(0)=w^{\prime}(0)=0$.

## 2. Preliminary lemmas

Let $\mathscr{P}_{n}$ denote the class of functions $p$ in $\mathscr{H}$ such that $p^{(k)}(0)=0$ for $k=0,1,2, \ldots, n$, where $p^{(0)}(0)=p(0)$. With $w^{(0)}(z)=w(z)$, we set

$$
\mathscr{B}_{n}=\left\{w \in \mathscr{H}:|w(z)| \leq 1, w^{(k)}(0)=0 \text { for } k=0,1, \ldots, n\right\} .
$$

Lemma 1. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}_{1}$. If $p$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p(z)+(\gamma-2 \sqrt{\gamma}) z p^{\prime}(z)+\gamma z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| \leq 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\gamma>1 / 4$, then we have the following:
(i) $|p(z)| \leq \frac{|z|^{2}}{(2 \sqrt{\gamma}-1)^{2}}, z \in \mathbb{D}$,
(ii) $\left|-z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z)\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}(2 \sqrt{\gamma}-1)}+\left|1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\right| \frac{1}{(2 \sqrt{\gamma}-1)^{2}}\right)|z|^{2}, z \in \mathbb{D}$.

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p(z)-z p^{\prime}(z)+z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| \leq 1 \Longrightarrow|p(z)| \leq|z|^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|-z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z)\right| \leq|z|^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, we rewrite (4) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)+(\gamma-2 \sqrt{\gamma}) z p^{\prime}(z)+\gamma z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)=w(z) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w \in \mathscr{B}_{1}$. Now, we let

$$
p(z)=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} p_{k} z^{k} \quad \text { and } \quad w(z)=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} w_{k} z^{k} .
$$

A comparison of the coefficients of $z^{k}$ on both sides in (6) gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}=\frac{w_{k}}{(k \sqrt{\gamma}-1)^{2}} \quad \text { for } k \geq 2 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this, we see that

$$
p(z)=\frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{w_{k}}{(k-(1 / \sqrt{\gamma}))^{2}} z^{k}
$$

Now, we recall that (see for example [7])

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+a)^{2}} z^{k}=z \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{a} \log (1 / t)}{1-t z} d t \quad \text { for } a>-1
$$

from which we easily obtain that

$$
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+a)^{2}} z^{k}=z^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{a+1} \log (1 / t)}{1-t z} d t \text { for } a>-2
$$

Using this observation, it follows that for $\gamma>1 / 4$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(z) & =\frac{1}{\gamma} w(z) * \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k-(1 / \sqrt{\gamma}))^{2}} z^{k} \\
& =\frac{1}{\gamma} w(z) * z^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{1-(1 / \sqrt{\gamma})} \log (1 / t)}{1-t z} d t \\
& =\frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{0}^{1} t^{-1-(1 / \sqrt{\gamma})} \log (1 / t) w(t z) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

As $w \in \mathscr{B}_{1}$, Schwarz' lemma gives that $|w(z)| \leq|z|^{2}$ in $\mathbb{D}$, and therefore, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|p(z)| & \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}|z|^{2} \int_{0}^{1} t^{1-(1 / \sqrt{\gamma})} \log (1 / t) d t \\
& =\frac{1}{\gamma}|z|^{2} \frac{1}{(2-(1 / \sqrt{\gamma}))^{2}}=\frac{|z|^{2}}{(2 \sqrt{\gamma}-1)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the conclusion (i) follows.
For the proof of (ii), by (7), we can easily deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z) & =-\frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k-1) w_{k}}{(k-(1 / \sqrt{\gamma}))^{2}} z^{k} \\
& =-\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{w_{k}}{k-(1 / \sqrt{\gamma})} z^{k}+\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}-1\right) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{w_{k}}{(k-(1 / \sqrt{\gamma}))^{2}} z^{k}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{0}^{1} t^{-1-(1 / \sqrt{\gamma})} w(t z) d t-\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}-1\right) \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{0}^{1} t^{-1-(1 / \sqrt{\gamma})} \log (1 / t) w(t z) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again as $|w(z)| \leq|z|^{2}$ in $\mathbb{D}$, we obtain that

$$
\left|-z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z)\right| \leq \frac{|z|^{2}}{\gamma(2-(1 / \sqrt{\gamma}))}+\left|1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\right| \frac{|z|^{2}}{\gamma(2-(1 / \sqrt{\gamma}))^{2}}
$$

and the conclusion (ii) follows.

## 3. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{N}$ and set

$$
p(z)=\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2} f^{\prime}(z)-1=-z\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{z}{f(z)}-1
$$

Then $p$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}, p(0)=p^{\prime}(0)=0$,

$$
p(z)-z p^{\prime}(z)+z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)=N_{f}(z) \quad \text { and } \quad-z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z)=M_{f}(z)
$$

where $N_{f}$ is defined by (1) and

$$
M_{f}(z)=z^{2}\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}+f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2}-1
$$

Now, as $f \in \mathcal{N}$, we obtain that

$$
\left|p(z)-z p^{\prime}(z)+z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| \leq 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

If we apply Lemma 1 with $\gamma=1$, namely, the implication (5), it follows that

$$
|p(z)| \leq|z|^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|-z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z)\right| \leq|z|^{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

and therefore, $f \in \mathcal{U}$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}$. It has been shown in [1, Theorem 1] that $\mathcal{M} \subsetneq U$ and so, $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{U}=\mathcal{M}$.
Proof of Theorem 2. Let $f$ be given by $f(z)=z / \phi(z)$, where $\phi(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$ and $\phi(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$. Since

$$
-z\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{z}{f(z)}=\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2} f^{\prime}(z)
$$

we have

$$
N_{f}(z)=-z^{3}\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}-\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{z}{f(z)}-1=-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{3} b_{n} z^{n}
$$

Thus, using the coefficient condition (2), we deduce that

$$
\left|N_{f}(z)\right| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{3}\left|b_{n}\right||z|^{n} \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{3}\left|b_{n}\right| \leq 1
$$

and therefore, $f \in \mathcal{N}$.
Proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{U}$ and $g \in \mathcal{M}$. By hypotheses, $\frac{z}{H(z)} \neq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Using the power series representation of $f$, we obtain that

$$
\left|-z\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{z}{f(z)}-1\right|=\left|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1) b_{n} z^{n}\right| \leq 1
$$

Therefore, as in [1], we let $z=r e^{i \theta}$ for $r \in(0,1)$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi$ so that the last inequality gives

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2}\left|b_{n}\right|^{2} r^{2 n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1) b_{n} z^{n}\right|^{2} d \theta \leq 1
$$

Allowing $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$, we obtain the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2}\left|b_{n}\right|^{2} \leq 1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, as $g \in \mathcal{M}$, the power series representation of $g$ gives

$$
M_{g}(z)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2} c_{n} z^{n}
$$

and so, as above, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{4}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2} \leq 1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, since

$$
\frac{z}{f(z)} * \frac{z}{g(z)}=1+b_{1} c_{1} z+b_{2} c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots
$$

Eqs. (8) and (9) give

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{3}\left|b_{n}\right|\left|c_{n}\right| \leq\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{2}\left|b_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)^{4}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq 1
$$

Finally, by (2), we conclude that $H \in \mathcal{N}$.
Proof of Theorem 4. Let $f \in \mathcal{N}$ with $a_{2}=f^{\prime \prime}(0) / 2$. If we let $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$, then $z / f(z)$ takes the form

$$
\frac{z}{f(z)}=1-a_{2} z-\left(a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right) z^{2}-\left(a_{4}-2 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{2}^{3}\right) z^{3}+\cdots
$$

Now, we find that

$$
N_{f}(z)=-\left(a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right) z^{2}-4\left(a_{4}-2 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{2}^{3}\right) z^{3}+\cdots=w(z)
$$

where $w \in \mathscr{B}_{1}$. Also, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{f}(z)=p(z)-z p^{\prime}(z)+z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)=w(z) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
p(z)=-z\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{z}{f(z)} .
$$

We may now set $w(z)=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} w_{k} z^{k}$. From the proof of Lemma 1 , it follows from (10) that

$$
p(z)=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{w_{k}}{(k-1)^{2}} z^{k}=\int_{0}^{1} t^{-2} \log (1 / t) w(t z) d t
$$

Then the last two relations give (for example using the comparison of the coefficients)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{z}{f(z)} & =1-a_{2} z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{w_{k}}{(k-1)^{3}} z^{k} \\
& =1-a_{2} z+w(z) * \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{k+1}}{k^{3}} \\
& =1-a_{2} z+w(z) * z^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(\log (1 / t))^{2}}{1-t z} d t \quad \text { (see [7]) } \\
& =1-a_{2} z+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{(\log (1 / t))^{2}}{t^{2}} w(t z) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and the desired representation follows.
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