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Abstract Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the family of all functions that are analytic in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}:=\{z:|z|<1\}$ and satisfy $f(0)=0=f^{\prime}(0)-1$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ denote the subset of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ which satisfy

$$
\left|\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2} f^{\prime}(z)-1\right|<1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

and let $\mathcal{P}(2)$ be the subclass of all functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f(z) \neq 0$ for $0<|z|<1$ and

$$
\left|\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq 2, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$
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In this paper, a conjecture on the class $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{P}(2)$ has been resolved. Furthermore, two sufficient conditions for functions to be univalent are presented.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the family of all functions that are analytic in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}:=\{z$ : $|z|<1\}$ and satisfy $f(0)=0=f^{\prime}(0)-1$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ denote the set of functions $\omega$ that are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and satisfy $|\omega(z)| \leq 1(|z|<1)$. Let $S$ be the set of all functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ that are univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. Let $S^{*}$ denote the subset of $S$ consisting of all starlike functions. Let $\mathcal{U}$ denote the set of all $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2} f^{\prime}(z)-1\right|<1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\mathcal{P}(2)$ be the subclass of all functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f(z) \neq 0$ for $0<|z|<1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq 2, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that $\mathcal{U} \subset S$ (see [1]). In recent years, the class $\mathcal{U}$ were studied in detail (see [2-6]). Obradović and Ponnusamy[3] proved that

$$
\mathcal{P}(2) \subset \mathcal{U} .
$$

For the function $f$ defined by $\frac{z}{f(z)}=1+\frac{1}{2} z^{3}$, which belongs to the class $\mathcal{U}$, we have that

$$
\left|\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right|=|3 z| \leq 2 \text { for } \quad|z| \leq \frac{2}{3},
$$

i.e., $\mathcal{P}(2)$-radius for the above function $f$ is equal to $\frac{2}{3}$. The authors considered a subclass of the class $\mathcal{U}$ and showed that $\mathcal{P}(2)$-radius for that subclass is equal to $\frac{2}{3}$. They conjectured that the same is valid for the class $\mathcal{U}$ [7]. In the second part of this paper, we shall prove that the conjecture is not true by giving the correct $\mathcal{P}$ (2)-radius for the class $\mathcal{U}$.

Let $\Omega$ be the subset of $\mathcal{A}$ which consists of all functions $f$ satisfying

$$
\left|z f^{\prime}(z)-f(z)\right|<\frac{1}{2}, \quad(|z|<1)
$$

It is known that $\Omega \subset S^{*}[8]$. In the third part of this paper, we shall give two conditions for functions to be in the class $\Omega$.

## $2 \mathcal{P}$ (2)-Radius for the Class $\mathcal{U}$

Theorem 1 If $f \in \mathcal{U}$, then

$$
\left|\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq 2
$$

for $|z| \leq r_{0}=\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}=0.618 \ldots$ and the result is the best possible.
For the proof of Theorem 1, we need the next lemma given by Shaffer[9].
Lemma 1 Let $g(z)=\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}(p \geq 1)$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and satisfy $|g(z)| \leq 1$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then
(a) $\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq p|z|^{p-1}$ for $|z| \leq \frac{\sqrt{1+p^{2}}-1}{p}$,
(b) $\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq|z|^{p-2} \frac{4|z|^{2}+p^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}{4\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}$ for $|z|>\frac{\sqrt{1+p^{2}}-1}{p}$.

These estimates are the best possible.
Proof of Theorem 1 For $f \in \mathcal{U}$ let's put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{U}_{f}(z)=\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2} f^{\prime}(z)-1 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\mathcal{U}_{f}(z)=\frac{z}{f(z)}-z\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime}-1
$$

If $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\ldots$, then

$$
\mathcal{U}_{f}(z)=\left(a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right) z^{2}+\ldots
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{U}_{f}^{\prime}(z)=-z\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right) . .^{\prime \prime} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (1), previous notation and other conclusions, we can apply Lemma 1 with $g(z)=\mathcal{U}_{f}(z)$ and $p=2$. By Lemma 1(a), we obtain

$$
\left|\mathcal{U}_{f}^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq 2|z| \text { for }|z| \leq r_{0}=\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}
$$

which by (4) implies

$$
\left|\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq 2,|z| \leq r_{0}=\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}
$$

i.e., $f$ has $\mathcal{P}(2)$-property in the disk $|z| \leq r_{0}=\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$, which was to be proved.

Similarly, by Lemma 1(b) we have

$$
\left|\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq \frac{1-|z|^{2}+|z|^{4}}{|z|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}=: \varphi(|z|),|z|>r_{0}=\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}
$$

where

$$
\varphi(t)=\frac{1-t^{2}+t^{4}}{t\left(1-t^{2}\right)}, r_{0}<t<1
$$

It is easy to check that $\varphi$ is an increasing function and $\varphi\left(r_{0}\right)=2<\varphi(t)$ for $r_{0}<t<1$. For sharpness of the theorem, let us consider the function $f_{b}$ defined by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z}{f_{b}(z)}=1-z \int_{0}^{z} \frac{z+b}{1+b z} \mathrm{~d} z \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ is real and $|b|<1$. Since $\omega(z)=\frac{z+b}{1+b z}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, then

$$
\left|z \int_{0}^{z} \frac{z+b}{1+b z} \mathrm{~d} z\right| \leq|z|^{2}<1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

which by (5) implies $\frac{z}{f_{b}(z)} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{D}$, i.e., $f_{b}$ is well defined. Also

$$
\left|\mathcal{U}_{f_{b}}(z)\right|=\left|z^{2} \frac{z+b}{1+b z}\right|<|z|^{2}<1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

which gives that $f_{b} \in \mathcal{U}$.
Let $r_{1}$ be a fixed real number such that $r_{0}<r_{1}<1$ and $b_{1}=\frac{1-2 r_{1}^{2}}{r_{1}^{3}}$. We claim that $\left|b_{1}\right|<1$. In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-1<b_{1}<1 & \Leftrightarrow-1<\frac{1-2 r_{1}^{2}}{r_{1}^{3}}<1 \\
& \Leftrightarrow-r_{1}^{3}<1-2 r_{1}^{2}<r_{1}^{3} \\
& \Leftrightarrow r_{1}^{2}\left(1-r_{1}\right)<1-r_{1}^{2}<r_{1}^{2}\left(1+r_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The left inequality is equivalent to $r_{1}^{2}<1+r_{1}$, which is true, and the right is equivalent to $1-r_{1}-r_{1}^{2}<0$, which is also true since $r_{0}<r_{1}<1$.

After simple calculations, for the function $f_{b_{1}}$ we have

$$
\left|\left(\frac{z}{f_{b_{1}}(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right|_{z=r_{1}}=\frac{1-r_{1}^{2}+r_{1}^{4}}{r_{1}\left(1-r_{1}^{2}\right)}=: \varphi\left(r_{1}\right)>2,
$$

because of the property of the function $\varphi$ and since $r_{0}<r_{1}<1$. It means that the function $f_{b_{1}}$ is an extremal function for our problem, since it has $\mathcal{P}$ (2)-property in the disk $|z| \leq r_{0}=\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$ (because $f_{b_{1}} \in \mathcal{U}$ ), but not in a disk with longer radius.

## 3 Sufficient Conditions for Function to be in $\Omega$

Theorem 2 Let $f \in \mathcal{A} . \operatorname{If}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| \leq 1$ then $f \in \Omega$. The number 1 is the best possible.
Proof Let $g(z)=z f^{\prime}(z)-f(z)$. Then, $g^{\prime}(z)=z f^{\prime \prime}(z)$. Since $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$ and $\left|f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| \leq 1$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(z)=z \omega(z) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega(z) \in \mathcal{B}$. It follows from (6) that

$$
g(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \zeta \omega(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta=z^{2} \int_{0}^{1} t \omega(z t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

Therefore,

$$
|g(z)|=\left|z^{2} \int_{0}^{1} t \omega(z t) \mathrm{d} t\right|<\int_{0}^{1} t \mathrm{~d} t=\frac{1}{2}, \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

That is, $\left|z f^{\prime}(z)-f(z)\right|<\frac{1}{2}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. This implies that $f \in \Omega \subset S^{*}$.
If $\left|f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| \leq \lambda$ and $\lambda>1$, then $f$ may be not univalent. For example, $f(z)=$ $z+\frac{1}{2} \lambda z^{2}$ satisfy $\left|f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| \leq \lambda$, but $f^{\prime}(z)=1+\lambda z$ vanish at $-\frac{1}{\lambda}$, which implies that $f \notin S^{*}$.

Theorem 3 Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. If

$$
\left|z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)+z f^{\prime}(z)-f(z)\right| \leq \frac{3}{2}
$$

then $f \in \Omega \subset S^{*}$. The number $\frac{3}{2}$ is the best possible.
Proof Since $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$ and

$$
\left|z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)+z f^{\prime}(z)-f(z)\right| \leq \frac{3}{2}
$$

it follows that

$$
\left[z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)-z f(z)\right]^{\prime}=\frac{3}{2} z^{2} \omega(z)
$$

where $\omega(z) \in \mathcal{B}$. Thus,

$$
z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)-z f(z)=\frac{3}{2} \int_{0}^{z} \omega(\zeta) \zeta^{2} \mathrm{~d} \zeta=\frac{3}{2} z^{3} \int_{0}^{1} \omega(z t) t^{2} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

and consequently,

$$
\left|z f^{\prime}(z)-f(z)\right|=\left|\frac{3}{2} z^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \omega(z t) t^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right|<\frac{3}{2} \int_{0}^{1} t^{2} \mathrm{~d} t=\frac{1}{2}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. This implies that $f \in \Omega \subset S^{*}$.
If $\left|z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)+z f^{\prime}(z)-f(z)\right| \leq \lambda$ and $\lambda>\frac{3}{2}$, then $f$ may be not univalent. One can see that by investigating the function $f(z)=z+\frac{1}{2} \lambda z^{2}, \lambda>1$.
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